

KOLMOGOROV AND LINEAR WIDTHS OF BALLS IN SOBOLEV SPACES ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

Daryl Geller ¹
Isaac Z. Pesenson ²

ABSTRACT. We determine upper asymptotic estimates of Kolmogorov and linear n -widths of unit balls in Sobolev norms in L_p -spaces on smooth compact Riemannian manifolds. For compact homogeneous manifolds, we establish estimates which are asymptotically exact, for the natural ranges of indices. The proofs heavily rely on our previous results such as: estimates for the near-diagonal localization of the kernels of elliptic operators, Plancherel-Polya inequalities on manifolds, cubature formulas with positive coefficients and uniform estimates on Clebsch-Gordon coefficients on general compact homogeneous manifolds.

Keywords and phrases: Compact manifold, Laplace-Beltrami operator, Casimir operator, Sobolev space, eigenfunctions, cubature formulas, kernels, n -widths.

Subject classifications[2000] 43A85; 42C40; 41A17; Secondary 41A10

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

Daryl Geller and I were working on this paper during the Summer and Fall of 2010. Sadly, Daryl Geller passed away suddenly in January of 2011. I will always remember him as a good friend and a wonderful mathematician.

The goal of the paper is to determine asymptotic estimates of Kolmogorov and linear n -widths of unit balls in Sobolev norms in $L_p(\mathbf{M})$ -spaces on a smooth compact (connected) Riemannian manifold $\mathbf{M}$. For compact homogeneous manifolds, we establish estimates which are asymptotically exact, for the natural ranges of indices. For compact homogeneous manifolds, we also obtain some lower bounds for Gelfand widths, which will be discussed in section 5.

Let us recall [20] that for a given subset H of a normed linear space Y , the Kolmogorov n -width $d_n(H, Y)$ is defined as

$$d_n(H, Y) = \inf_{Z_n} \sup_{x \in H} \inf_{z \in Z_n} \|x - z\|_Y$$

where Z_n runs over all n -dimensional subspaces of Y . The linear n -width $\delta_n(H, Y)$ is defined as

$$\delta_n(H, Y) = \inf_{A_n} \sup_{x \in H} \|x - A_n x\|_Y$$

¹Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3651; (12/26/1950-01/27/2011)

² Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122; pesenson@temple.edu. The author was supported in part by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency University Research Initiative (NURI), grant HM1582-08-1-0019.

where A_n runs over all bounded operators $A_n : Y \rightarrow Y$ whose range has dimension n . The Gelfand n -width of a subset H in a linear space Y is defined by

$$d^n(H, Y) = \inf_{Z^n} \sup \{ \|x\| : x \in H \cap Z^n \},$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces $Z^n \subset Y$ of codimension $\leq n$. The width d_n characterizes the best approximative possibilities by approximations by n -dimensional subspaces, the width δ_n characterizes the best approximative possibilities of any n -dimensional linear method. The width d^n plays a key role in questions about interpolation and reconstruction of functions.

In our paper the notation S_n will stay for either Kolmogorov n -width d_n or linear n -width δ_n ; the notation s_n will be used for either d_n or Gelfand n -width d^n ; S^n will be used for either d_n , d^n , or δ_n .

One then has the following relations (see [20], pp. 400-403,):

$$(1.1) \quad S^n(H_1, Y) \leq S^n(H, Y),$$

if $H_1 \subset H$, and

$$(1.2) \quad d^n(H, Y) = d^n(H, Y_1), \quad S_n(H, Y) \leq S_n(H, Y_1), \quad H \subset Y_1 \subset Y,$$

where Y_1 is a subspace of Y . Moreover, the following inequality holds

$$(1.3) \quad \delta_n(H, Y) \geq \max(d_n(H, Y), d^n(H, Y)).$$

If $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$, we write $S^n(H, Y) \ll n^\gamma$ to mean that one has the upper estimate $S^n(H, Y) \leq Cn^\gamma$ for $n > 0$. (Here C is independent of n). We say that the upper estimate is exact if also $S^n(H, Y) \geq cn^\gamma$ for $n > 0$, and in that case we write $S^n(H, Y) \asymp cn^\gamma$.

Let $L_q = L_q(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, be the regular Lebesgue space constructed with the Riemannian density. Let L be an elliptic smooth second-order differential operator L which is self-adjoint and positive definite in $L_2(\mathbf{M})$, such as the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ . For such an operator all the powers L^r , $r > 0$, are well defined on $C^\infty(\mathbf{M}) \subset L_2(\mathbf{M})$ and continuously map $C^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ into itself. Using duality every operator L^r , $r > 0$, can be extended to distributions on $\mathbf{M}$. The Sobolev space $W_p^r = W_p^r(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for which the following graph norm is finite

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{W_p^r(\mathbf{M})} = \|f\|_p + \|L^{r/2}f\|_p.$$

If $p \neq 1, \infty$, this graph norm is independent of L , up to equivalence, by elliptic regularity theory on compact manifolds. If $p = 1$ or ∞ we will need to specify which operator L we are using; some of our results will apply for L general. In fact, for our results which apply to general $\mathbf{M}$, we can use any L . For the results which apply only to homogeneous manifolds $\mathbf{M}$, we will need to use a specific L , namely the image $\mathcal{L}$ (under the differential of the quasi-regular representation of G in $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) of a central element in the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which can be represented as a "sum of squares" (see section 3 below). Note, that if G is compact and semi-simple then $\mathcal{L}$ will be the image of the Casimir operator in the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For certain homogeneous manifolds the operator $\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ of an invariant metric. This happens, for example, when $\mathbf{M}$ is a symmetric compact homogeneous manifold of rank one (=two point compact homogeneous manifold) or when $\mathbf{M}$ is a compact Lie group G .

It is important to remember that in all our considerations the inequality $r > s \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)_+$ with $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$ will be satisfied. Thus, by the Sobolev embedding theorem the set $B_p^r(\mathbf{M})$ is a subset of $L_q(\mathbf{M})$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{M}$ is compact by the Rellich-Kondrashov theorem the embedding of $B_p^r(\mathbf{M})$ into $L_q(\mathbf{M})$ will be compact.

Our objective is to obtain asymptotic estimates of $S_n(H, L_q(\mathbf{M}))$, where H is the unit ball $B_p^r(\mathbf{M})$ in the Sobolev space $W_p^r = W_p^r(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$. Thus,

$$B_p^r = B_p^r(\mathbf{M}) = \left\{ f \in W_p^r(\mathbf{M}) : \|f\|_{W_p^r(\mathbf{M})} \leq 1 \right\},$$

We also consider compact homogeneous manifolds $\mathbf{M} = G/K$, G being a compact Lie group (with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$) and K its closed subgroup. In the case of compact homogeneous manifolds we are able to obtain exact asymptotic estimates on $S_n(H, L_q(\mathbf{M}))$.

We set $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$. Let as usual $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Our main results are the following three Theorems which are proved in sections 2, 4, and 5 respectively.

Theorem 1.1. (*Basic upper estimate*) For any compact Riemannian manifold, any L , and for any $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, if S_n is either of d_n or δ_n then the following holds

$$(1.5) \quad S_n(B_p^r(\mathbf{M}), L_q(\mathbf{M})) \ll n^{-\frac{r}{s} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})_+},$$

provided that $-\frac{r}{s} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})_+$, which we call the basic exponent, is negative.

Theorem 1.2. (*Improved estimates*) Say $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold.

(1) Say $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$. If $p = 1$, take $L = \mathcal{L}$.

Then one has the improved upper estimates

$$(1.6) \quad d_n(B_p^r(\mathbf{M}), L_q(\mathbf{M})) \ll n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{if } r > s/p,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \delta_n(B_p^r(\mathbf{M}), L_q(\mathbf{M})) \ll n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{if } q \leq p' \text{ and } r > s/p,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \delta_n(B_p^r(\mathbf{M}), L_q(\mathbf{M})) \ll n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{if } q > p' \text{ and } r > s/q'.$$

(2) Say $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. If $p = \infty$, take $L = \mathcal{L}$.

Then one has the improved upper estimate

$$(1.9) \quad d_n(B_p^r(\mathbf{M}), L_q(\mathbf{M})) \ll n^{-\frac{r}{s}} \quad \text{if } r > s/p.$$

Theorem 1.3. (*Exact estimates*) Assume $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold. If $p = 1$ or ∞ , take $L = \mathcal{L}$. Then the four improved estimates listed in Theorem 1.2 are all exact. In all other situations (i.e. $p \leq 2 \leq q$ is false, or $2 \leq p \leq q$ and $S_n = \delta_n$), if the basic exponent is negative, then the basic upper estimate is exact.

Thus if $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold we obtain exact asymptotic estimates for d_n and δ_n for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and some restrictions on r . For general compact Riemannian manifolds we obtain only upper estimates. Our results generalize some of the known estimates for the particular case in which $\mathbf{M}$ is a compact symmetric space of rank one; these estimates were obtained in papers [3] and [2]. They, in turn generalized and extended results from [1], [15], [19], [21], [17] and [18].

Our main Theorems could be carried over to Besov spaces on manifolds using general results about interpolation of compact operators.

The proofs of all the main results heavily exploit our estimates for the near-diagonal localization of the kernels of elliptic operators on compact manifolds (see

[11] and section 2 below for the general case and [7]- [10] for the case of Laplace-Beltrami operator). These estimate allow one to decompose functions into bandlimited and fast decaying parts.

Of course, homogeneous compact manifolds are much “better” than general compact Riemannian manifolds (section 3 below). But the main reason we obtain exact asymptotic estimates is that in the case of homogeneous manifolds we are able to find a uniform estimate on the number of non-zero Fourier coefficients of the product of two eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}$ (Theorem 3.8 below and Theorem 5.1 of [11]). Note that this result is well known, say, for spherical harmonics and the corresponding non-zero coefficients are known as Wigner symbols. In a more general context it is a problem of decomposing a tensor product of two representations of a compact Lie group into irreducible representations in which case the corresponding Fourier coefficients are known as the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients.

Our result about Clebsch-Gordon coefficients along with our positive cubature formula (Theorem 3.5 below, see also [11]) allows us to discretize convolution integrals of eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}$ with zonal functions. It is the main technical trick in section 3 which produces improved estimates in the case of homogeneous manifolds.

Note that the proof of existence (even on general compact Riemannian manifolds) of cubature formulas with positive coefficients which are exact on eigensubspaces was prepared for in [34] and published in [11]. Plancherel-Polya-type inequalities on compact and non-compact manifolds were extensively developed and used in our previous papers.

In connection with cubature formulas and Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund (or Plancherel-Polya) inequalities on compact manifolds we refer to the following papers [5], [6], [14], [22]– [29], which contain a number of important results.

2. THE BASIC UPPER ESTIMATE ON GENERAL COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Let $(\mathbf{M}, g)$ be a smooth, connected, compact Riemannian manifold without boundary with Riemannian measure μ . We write dx instead of $d\mu(x)$. For $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$, let $d(x, y)$ denote the geodesic distance from x to y . We will frequently need the fact that if $M > s$, $x \in \mathbf{M}$ and $t > 0$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{1}{[1 + (d(x, y)/t)]^M} dy \leq Ct^s$$

with C independent of x or t . (See, for example, the third bulleted point after Proposition 3.1 of [8]. (Note that in [8], the dimension of the manifold is n , not s .)

Let L be a smooth, positive, second order elliptic differential operator on $\mathbf{M}$, whose principal symbol $\sigma_2(L)(x, \xi)$ is positive on $\{(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbf{M} : \xi \neq 0\}$. In the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 we will take L to be the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the metric g , but in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we will let L be the Laplace operator $\mathcal{L}$, which we will discuss in the next section. We will use the same notation L for the closure of L from $C^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ in $L_2(\mathbf{M})$. In the case $p = 2$ this closure is a self-adjoint positive definite operator on the space $L_2(\mathbf{M})$. The spectrum of this operator, say $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$, is discrete and approaches infinity. Let $u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots$ be a corresponding complete system of real-valued orthonormal eigenfunctions, and let $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$, $\omega > 0$, be the span of all eigenfunctions of L , whose corresponding eigenvalues are not greater than ω . Since the operator L is of order two, the

dimension $\mathcal{N}_\omega$ of the space $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$ is given asymptotically by Weyl's formula, which says [16], in sharp form: For some $c > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_\omega(L) = c\omega^{s/2} + O(\omega^{(s-1)/2}).$$

where $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_l} = l+1$, we conclude that, for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad c_1 l^{2/s} \leq \lambda_l \leq c_2 l^{2/s}$$

for all l . Since $L^m u_l = \lambda_l^m u_l$, and L^m is an elliptic differential operator of degree $2m$, Sobolev's lemma, combined with the last fact, implies that for any integer $k \geq 0$, there exist $C_k, \nu_k > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u_l\|_{C^k(\mathbf{M})} \leq C_k (l+1)^{\nu_k}.$$

From these facts one sees at once:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{The mapping } \sum a_l u_l \rightarrow (a_l)_{l \geq 0} \text{ gives a Fréchet space isomorphism} \\ \text{of } C^\infty(\mathbf{M}) \text{ with the space of rapidly decaying sequences.} \end{array}$$

In particular, smooth functions are precisely those functions F which can be written as $\sum_{l=0}^\infty a_l u_l$, for certain a_l which decay rapidly. If $r > 0$, $L^{r/2} f$ is defined to be the smooth function $\sum_{l=0}^\infty a_l \lambda_l^{r/2} u_l$. In fact, from (2.5), we see that $L^{r/2}$ maps $C^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ to itself continuously, and may thus be extended by duality to a map on distributions.

Suppose $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$, the space of restrictions to the nonnegative real axis of Schwartz functions on $\mathbf{R}$. Using the spectral theorem, one can define the bounded operator $F(t^2 L)$ on $L_2(\mathbf{M})$. In fact, for $f \in L_2(\mathbf{M})$,

$$(2.6) \quad [F(t^2 L)f](x) = \int K_t(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad K_t(x, y) = \sum_l F(t^2 \lambda_l) u_l(x) u_l(y) = K_t(y, x)$$

as one sees easily by checking the case $F = u_m$. Using (2.7), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), one easily checks that $K_t(x, y)$ is smooth in $(x, y) \in \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$. We call K_t the kernel of $F(t^2 L)$. $F(t^2 L)$ maps $C^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ to itself continuously, and may thus be extended to be a map on distributions. In particular we may apply $F(t^2 L)$ to any $F \in L_p(\mathbf{M}) \subseteq L_1(\mathbf{M})$ (where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$), and by Fubini's theorem $F(t^2 L)F$ is still given by (2.6).

The following Theorem about K_t was proved in [8] in the special case in which L was Δ . In [11] we argued that the result generalize to the situation in which L is general.

Theorem 2.1. (*Near-diagonal localization*) Assume $fF \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ (the space of restrictions to the nonnegative real axis of Schwartz functions on $\mathbf{R}$). For $t > 0$, let $K_t(x, y)$ be the kernel of $F(t^2 L)$. Then:

(a) If $F(0) = 0$. Then for every pair of C^∞ differential operators X (in x) and Y (in y) on $\mathbf{M}$, and for every integer $N \geq 0$, there exists $C_{N,X,Y}$ as follows. Suppose $\deg X = j$ and $\deg Y = k$. Then

$$(2.8) \quad t^{s+j+k} \left| \left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t} \right)^N XY K_t(x, y) \right| \leq C_{N,X,Y}, \quad s = \dim \mathbf{M},$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$.

(b) For general F , the estimate (2.8) at least holds for $0 < t \leq 1$.

In this article, we will use the following corollaries of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. *Assume $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$. For $t > 0$, let $K_t(x, y)$ be the kernel of $F(t^2 L)$. Suppose that either:*

(i) $F(0) = 0$, or

(ii) F is general, but we only consider $0 < t \leq 1$.

Then for some $C > 0$,

$$(2.9) \quad |K_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{Ct^{-s}}{\left[1 + \frac{d(x, y)}{t}\right]^{s+1}}$$

for all t and all $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$.

Proof This is immediate from Theorem 2.1, with $X = Y = I$, if one considers the two cases $N = 0$ and $N = s + 1$.

Corollary 2.2. *Consider $1 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$, with conjugate index α' . In the situation of Theorem 2.1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \left(\int |K_t(x, y)|^\alpha dy \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq Ct^{-s/\alpha'} \quad \text{for all } x,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \left(\int |K_t(x, y)|^\alpha dx \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq Ct^{-s/\alpha'} \quad \text{for all } y,$$

Proof We need only prove (2.10), since $K_t(y, x) = K_t(x, y)$.

If $\alpha < \infty$, (2.10) follows from Corollary 2.1, which tells us that

$$\int |K_t(x, y)|^\alpha dy \leq C \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{t^{-s\alpha}}{[1 + (d(x, y)/t)]^{\alpha(s+1)}} dy \leq Ct^{s(1-\alpha)}$$

with C independent of x or t , by (2.1).

If $\alpha = \infty$, the left side of (2.10) is as usual to be interpreted as the L^∞ norm of $h_{t,x}(y) = K_t(x, y)$. But in this case the conclusion is immediate from Corollary 2.1.

This completes the proof.

We will use Corollary 2.2 in conjunction with the following fact. We consider operators of the form $f \rightarrow \mathcal{K}f$ where

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{K}f)(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)dy,$$

where the integral is over $\mathbf{M}$, and where we are using Riemannian measure. In all applications, K will be continuous on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$, and F will be in $L_1(\mathbf{M})$, so that $\mathcal{K}f$ will be a bounded continuous function. The following generalization of Young's inequality holds:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $1 \leq p, \alpha \leq \infty$, and that $(1/q) + 1 = (1/p) + (1/\alpha)$. Suppose that $c > 0$, and that*

$$(2.13) \quad \left[\int |K(x, y)|^\alpha dy \right]^{1/\alpha} \leq c \quad \text{for all } x,$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \left[\int |K(x, y)|^\alpha dx \right]^{1/\alpha} \leq c \quad \text{for all } y,$$

Then $\|\mathcal{K}f\|_q \leq c\|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L_p$.

Now, let η be a C^∞ function on $[0, \infty)$ which equals 1 on $[0, 1]$, and which is supported in $[0, 4]$. Define, for $x > 0$,

$$\phi(x) = \eta(x/4) - \eta(x)$$

so that ϕ is supported in $[1, 16]$. For $j \geq 1$, we set

$$\phi_j(x) = \phi(x/4^{j-1}).$$

We also set $\phi_0 = \eta$, so that $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \phi_j \equiv 1$. We claim:

Lemma 2.3. (a) *If $r > 0$, and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then there is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$(2.15) \quad \|\phi_j(L)f\|_q \leq C(2^{js})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{W_p^r},$$

for all $f \in W_p^r(\mathbf{M})$. In other words, the norm of $\phi_j(L)$, as an element of $\mathbf{B}(W_p^r, L_q)$ (the bounded linear operators from W_p^r to L_q), is no more than $C(2^{js})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}$.

(b) *Suppose that $-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 0$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \phi_j(L)$ converges absolutely in $\mathbf{B}(W_p^r, L_q)$, to the identity operator on W_p^r .*

Proof (a) Define, for $x > 0$,

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x)/x^{r/2}$$

so that ψ is supported in $[1, 16]$. For $j \geq 1$, we set

$$\psi_j(x) = \psi(x/4^{j-1}),$$

so that $\phi_j(x) = 2^{-(j-1)r} \psi_j(x) x^{r/2}$.

Accordingly, if f is a distribution on $\mathbf{M}$, for $j \geq 1$,

$$\phi_j(L)f = 2^{-(j-1)r} \psi_j(L)(L^{r/2}f),$$

in the sense of distributions. If now $f \in W_p^r$, so that $L^{r/2}f \in L_p$, we see from Lemma 2.2 with $t = 2^{-j}$, and from Lemma 2.2, that if $(1/q) + 1 = (1/p) + (1/\alpha)$, then

$$\|\phi_j(L)f\|_q \leq C 2^{-jr} 2^{js/\alpha'} \|L^{r/2}f\|_p \leq C(2^{js})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{W_p^r},$$

as desired.

For (b), we note that by (a), $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \phi_j(L)$ converges absolutely in $\mathbf{B}(W_p^r, L_q)$. It converges to the identity on smooth functions, hence in the sense of distributions. Hence we must have $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \phi_j(L) = I$ in $\mathbf{B}(W_p^r, L_q)$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since in general $d_n \leq \delta_n$, it suffices to prove the basic upper estimate for δ_n . If $q \leq p$, then surely $\delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) \leq C\delta_n(B_p^r, L_p)$. Since the basic upper estimate is the same for all q with $q \leq p$, we may as well assume then that $q = p$. In short, we may assume $q \geq p$.

Let $\eta_M(x) = \eta(x/4^{M-1})$; then $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \phi_j = \eta_M$, which is supported in $[0, 4^M]$. Examining the kernel of $\eta_M(L)$ (see (2.7)), we see that $\eta_M(L) : W_p^r \rightarrow E_{4^M}(L)$. By Weyl's theorem (2.2), there is a positive integer c such that the dimension of $E_{4^M}(L)$ is at most $c2^{Ms}$ for every M . We see then by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\delta_{c2^{Ms}}(B_p^r(L), L^q) \leq \|I - \eta_M(L)\| \leq \sum_{j=M}^{\infty} \|\phi_j(L)\| \leq \sum_{j=M}^{\infty} C(2^{js})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \leq C(2^{Ms})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \leq C(c2^{Ms})^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}},$$

where all norms are taken in $\mathbf{B}(W_p^r, L_q)$. This proves the basic upper estimate for $n \in A := \{c2^{Ms} : M \geq 1\}$. For any $n \geq c2^s$ we may find $m \in A$ with $m \leq n \leq 2^s m$, and surely $\delta_n \leq \delta_m$. This gives the basic upper estimate for all n , and completes the proof.

We close this section with a result related to Theorem 2.1. This result is an essential ingredient of its proof (see [8] and section 7 of [11]). We will utilize it in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in section 5.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $h(\xi) = F(\xi^2) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ is even, and satisfies $\text{supp } \hat{h} \subseteq (-1, 1)$. For $t > 0$, let $K_t(x, y)$ be the kernel of $h(t\sqrt{L}) = F(t^2 L)$. Then for some $C_0 > 0$, if $d(x, y) > C_0 t$, then $K_t(x, y) = 0$.*

3. HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON COMPACT HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

In this section we review and extend our previous results about Plancherel-Polya inequalities and cubature formulas on manifolds. We also reprove our result which gives an estimate of the dimension of the eigenspace of the Casimir operator that contains the product of two of its eigenfunctions.

It is important to note that all the statements below from Lemma 3.1 to Theorem 3.5 hold true for all compact Riemannian manifolds and self-adjoint elliptic second order differential operators on them. Only in Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 we use the fact that $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold and $\mathcal{L}$ is the Casimir operator.

A *homogeneous* compact manifold $\mathbf{M}$ is a C^∞ -compact manifold on which a compact Lie group G acts transitively. In this case $\mathbf{M}$ is necessary of the form G/K , where K is a closed subgroup of G . The notation $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is used for the usual Banach spaces $L_p(\mathbf{M}, dx)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where dx is an invariant measure.

Every element X of the (real) Lie algebra of G generates a vector field on $\mathbf{M}$, which we will denote by the same letter X . The translations along integral curves of such vector fields X on $\mathbf{M}$ can be identified with a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{M}$, which is usually denoted as $\exp tX$, $-\infty < t < \infty$. At the same time, the one-parameter group $\exp tX$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, can be treated as a strongly continuous one-parameter group of operators acting on the space $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The generator of this one-parameter group will be denoted by $D_{X,p}$. According to the general theory of one-parameter groups in Banach spaces the operator $D_{X,p}$ is a closed operator on every $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In order to simplify notation, we will often write D_X in place of $D_{X,p}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group G then it is a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is a semi-simple algebra. Let Q be a positive-definite quadratic form on $\mathfrak{g}$ which, on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, is opposite to the Killing form. Let $X_1, \dots, X_d$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is orthonormal with respect to Q . Since the form Q is $Ad(G)$ -invariant, the operator

$$-X_1^2 - X_2^2 - \dots - X_d^2, \quad d = \dim G$$

is a bi-invariant operator on G . This implies in particular that the corresponding operator on $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L} = -D_1^2 - D_2^2 - \dots - D_d^2, \quad D_j = D_{X_j}, \quad d = \dim G,$$

commutes with all operators $D_j = D_{X_j}$. We will use this elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}$ as our L in the rest of the paper. However, as we discussed in the introduction, in all of the results of this section except for Theorem 3.8 below, one could use other L .

In the rest of the paper, the notation $\mathbb{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_d\}$, $d = \dim G$, will be used for the differential operators on $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, which are involved in the formula (3.1).

When discussing Sobolev spaces on $\mathbf{M}$, it is often crucial to utilize a positive elliptic operator, and in this paper, as in [11], we will use the *Laplace operator* $\mathcal{L}$. Our results, which require only the definitions of Sobolev spaces and of L_p to state, do not make explicit mention of $\mathcal{L}$.

As we remarked in [11], there are situations in which the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is, or is proportional to, the Laplace-Beltrami operator of an invariant metric on $\mathbf{M}$. This happens for example, if $\mathbf{M}$ is a d -dimensional torus, a compact semi-simple Lie group, or a compact symmetric space of rank one.

Let $B(x, r)$ be a metric ball on $\mathbf{M}$ whose center is x and radius is r . The following lemma holds for any compact manifolds and can be found in [31], [32].

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a natural number $N_{\mathbf{M}}$, such that for any sufficiently small $\rho > 0$, there exists a set of points $\{y_\nu\}$ such that:*

- (1) *the balls $B(y_\nu, \rho/4)$ are disjoint,*
- (2) *the balls $B(y_\nu, \rho/2)$ form a cover of $\mathbf{M}$,*
- (3) *the multiplicity of the cover by balls $B(y_\nu, \rho)$ is not greater than $N_{\mathbf{M}}$.*

The following notion is involved in formulations of several our results.

Definition 1. Any set of points $\mathbf{M}_\rho = \{y_\nu\}$ which is as described in Lemma 3.1 will be called a metric ρ -lattice.

The next two theorems were proved in [31]- [33], for a Laplace-Beltrami operator in $L_2(\mathbf{M})$ on a Riemannian manifold $\mathbf{M}$ of bounded geometry, but their proofs go through for any elliptic second-order differential operator in $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In what follows the notation $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$ is used.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$ and $\rho_0(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, such that for any natural number $l > s/p$, any $0 < \rho < \rho_0(\mathbf{M})$, and any ρ -lattice $\mathbf{M}_\rho = \{x_k\}$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \rho^{-s/p} \left(\|f\|_p + \|\mathcal{L}^{l/2} f\|_p \right),$$

for all $f \in W_p^l(\mathbf{M})$, $l > s/p$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.3. *For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exist constants $C_2 = C_2(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, and $\rho_0(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, such that for any natural $m > s/p$, any $0 < \rho < \rho_0(\mathbf{M})$, and any ρ -lattice $\mathbf{M}_\rho = \{x_k\}$ the following inequality holds*

$$(3.2) \quad \|f\|_{W_p^m(\mathbf{M})} \leq C_2 \left\{ \rho^{s/p} \left(\sum_{x_k \in M_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} + \rho^{2m} \|\mathcal{L}^m f\|_{L_p(\mathbf{M})} \right\},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > s/p$.

Using the constant $C_2(\mathbf{M}, p)$ from this Theorem, we define another constant

$$(3.3) \quad c_0 = c_0(\mathbf{M}, p) = (2C_2(\mathbf{M}, p))^{-1/2m_0},$$

where $m_0 = 1 + [s/p]$, $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is an elliptic second order differential operator which is positive definite and self-adjoint in the corresponding space $L_2(\mathbf{M})$ it has a discrete spectrum $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$. Let $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ be the span of the corresponding eigenfunctions whose eigenvalues $\leq \omega$. As one can easily verify the norm of $\mathcal{L}$ on the subspace $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is exactly ω . In particular one has the following Bernstein-type inequality [34]

$$(3.4) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^\alpha f\| \leq \omega^\alpha \|f\|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R},$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$. This fact and the previous two theorems imply the following Plancherel-Polya-type inequalities. Such inequalities are also known as Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities.

Theorem 3.4. *There exist constants $c_1 = c_1(\mathbf{M}) > 0$, $c_2 = c_2(\mathbf{M}) > 0$, and $c_0 = c_0(\mathbf{M}) > 0$, such that for any $\omega > 0$, and for every metric ρ -lattice $\mathbf{M}_\rho = \{x_k\}$ with $\rho = c_0 \omega^{-1/2}$, the following Plancherel-Polya inequalities hold:*

$$(3.5) \quad c_1 \left(\sum_k |f(x_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \rho^{-s/2} \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbf{M})} \leq c_2 \left(\sum_k |f(x_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ and $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$.

In [11] we proved existence of cubature formulas, which are exact on $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathbf{M})$, and which have positive coefficients of the "right" size:

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a positive constant a_0 , such that if $\rho = a_0(\omega + 1)^{-1/2}$, then for any ρ -lattice M_ρ , there exist strictly positive coefficients $\lambda_{x_k} > 0$, $x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho$, for which the following equality holds for all functions in $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathbf{M})$:*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbf{M}} f dx = \sum_{x_k \in M_\rho} \lambda_{x_k} f(x_k).$$

Moreover, there exists constants c_1, c_2 , such that the following inequalities hold:

$$(3.7) \quad c_1 \rho^s \leq \lambda_{x_k} \leq c_2 \rho^s, \quad s = \dim \mathbf{M}.$$

Our nearest goal is to prove the following key result which extends the Plancherel-Polya inequalities to general $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The proof of this result uses in a crucial way the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is the Laplace operator on the homogeneous manifold $\mathbf{M}$. The lemma below can be found in [34]. We include the idea of its proof in order to correct a mistake made in [34].

Lemma 3.6. *For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant $C(\mathbf{M})$ such that for $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ the following Bernstein inequality holds*

$$(3.8) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^m f\|_p \leq (d\omega)^m \|f\|_p, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\mathbf{M} = G/K$ and d is dimension of the group G .

Proof. It was shown in [34] the following equality takes place, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.9) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^{k/2} f\|_2^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} \|D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_k} f\|_2^2.$$

Thus, according to (3.9) we have the following inequality for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.10) \quad \|D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_m} f\|_2 \leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq d} \|D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_m} f\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|\mathcal{L}^{m/2} f\|_2,$$

and using (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \|D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_m} f\|_2 \leq \omega^{m/2} \|f\|_2, \quad f \in W_2^m(\mathbf{M}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, for every $1 \leq j \leq d$, $d = \dim G$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|D_j^m f\|_2 \leq \omega^{m/2} \|f\|_2, \quad f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L}) \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is a finite dimensional subspace any two norms on this subspace are equivalent. Thus for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant C_p such that the following inequality holds

$$(3.13) \quad \|D_j^m f\|_p \leq C_p \omega^{m/2} \|f\|_p$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$. Note, that since $\mathcal{L}$ commutes with every D_j the space $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is invariant under every operator D_j , $1 \leq j \leq d$. Since D_j generates a one-parameter group of isometries in $L_p(\mathbf{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we can use the Lemma 5.2 in [31] which implies

$$(3.14) \quad \|D_j^m f\|_p \leq \omega^{m/2} \|f\|_p, \quad f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L}).$$

Finally we obtain the following inequality

$$\|\mathcal{L}^m f\|_p = \|(D_1^2 + \dots + D_d^2)^m f\|_p \leq (d\omega)^m \|f\|_p, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L}).$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Say $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then there exist constants $c_1 = c_1(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, $c_2 = c_2(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, and $c_0 = c_0(\mathbf{M}, p) > 0$, such that for any $\omega > 0$, and for every metric ρ -lattice $\mathbf{M}_\rho = \{x_k\}$ with $\rho = c_0 \omega^{-1/2}$, the following Plancherel-Polya inequalities hold:*

$$(3.15) \quad c_1 \left(\sum_k |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \rho^{-s/p} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbf{M})} \leq c_2 \left(\sum_k |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ and $s = \dim \mathbf{M}$. (Here one uses the usual interpretations of the inequalities when $p = \infty$.)

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is an elliptic second-order differential operator on a compact manifold which is self-adjoint and positive definite in $L_2(\mathbf{M})$ the norm on the Sobolev space $W_p^{2m}(\mathbf{M})$ is equivalent to the norm $\|f\|_p + \|\mathcal{L}^m f\|_p$. Theorem 3.2 with $l = 2m$ implies

$$\left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \rho^{-s/p} (\|f\|_p + \|\mathcal{L}^m f\|_p),$$

for all $f \in W_p^{2m}(\mathbf{M})$, $2m > \frac{s}{p}$. The Bernstein inequality (3.8) shows that if $m_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \right\rfloor + 1$, then there exists a constant $a(\mathbf{M})$ such that for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$

$$\|f\|_p + \|\mathcal{L}^{m_0/2} f\|_p \leq \left(a(\mathbf{M})(1 + \omega)^{m_0/2} \right) \|f\|_p, \quad \omega \geq 0.$$

Thus we proved the inequality

$$(3.16) \quad \left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \rho^{-s/p} \|f\|_p, \quad f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L}),$$

where $C_1 = a(\mathbf{M})(1 + \omega)^{m_0/2}$. To prove the opposite inequality we use (3.2) and (3.8) to obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \|f\|_p \leq C_2 \rho^{s/p} \left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} + C_2 d^{m_0} \rho^{2m_0} \omega^{m_0} \|f\|_p,$$

where $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ and $m_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{s}{p} \right\rfloor + 1$. Now we fix the following value for ρ

$$\rho = \left(\frac{1}{2} C_2^{-1} d^{-m_0} \right)^{1/2m_0} \omega^{-1/2} = c_0 \omega^{-1/2}.$$

With such ρ the factor in the front of the last term in (3.17) is exactly 1/2. Thus, this term can be moved to the left side of the formula (3.17) to obtain

$$(3.18) \quad 2\|f\|_p \leq C_2 \rho^{s/p} \left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In other words, we obtain the inequality

$$\|f\|_p \leq c_2 \rho^{s/p} \left(\sum_{x_k \in \mathbf{M}_\rho} |f(x_k)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $c_2 = C_2/2$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Our reason for using Casimir $\mathcal{L}$ instead of the Laplace-Beltrami operator or another elliptic operator on $\mathbf{M}$, is that we can prove the following important fact:

Theorem 3.8. (*Theorem 5.1 of [11]:*) *If $\mathbf{M} = G/K$ is a compact homogeneous manifold and $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as in (3.1), then for any f and g belonging to $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$, their product fg belongs to $\mathbf{E}_{4d\omega}(\mathcal{L})$, where d is the dimension of the group G .*

We also need some basic facts about eigenfunctions on homogeneous manifolds.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathbf{M} = G/K$ be a compact homogeneous manifold. Assume $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$, and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of real-valued functions for V_λ , the eigenspace of $\mathcal{L}$ for the eigenvalue λ . Say $x \in \mathbf{M}$. Then*

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m [v_k(x)]^2 = \frac{\dim V_\lambda}{\mu(\mathbf{M})}.$$

Proof. For fixed $x \in \mathbf{M}$, let $Z_x(y) = \sum_{k=1}^m v_k(x)v_k(y)$ for $y \in \mathbf{M}$. Z_x is the unique element of V_λ satisfying

$$F(x) = \int F(y)Z_x(y)dy$$

for each $F \in V_\lambda$. Since dy and V_λ are invariant under G , one sees from this that $Z_x(y) = Z_{g \cdot x}(g \cdot y)$ for each $g \in G$. Since G acts transitively on $\mathbf{M}$, we see in particular from this that $Z_x(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m [v_k(x)]^2$ is independent of x . Accordingly

$$\mu(\mathbf{M}) \sum_{k=1}^m [v_k(x)]^2 = \int_{\mathbf{M}} \sum_{k=1}^m [v_k(u)]^2 du = \dim V_\lambda$$

as desired. $\square$

Recall that we have denoted the spectrum of L by $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$, and we have let $u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots$ denote a corresponding complete system of real-valued orthonormal eigenfunctions.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose $0 < a < b$. Then for any $x \in \mathbf{M}$,*

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{a/t^2 < \lambda_l \leq b/t^2} |u_l(x)|^2 \asymp t^{-s},$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, with constants independent of x or t .

Proof. By Proposition 3.9 and (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{a/t^2 < \lambda_l \leq b/t^2} |u_l(x)|^2 = \mu(\mathbf{M})^{-1} [\mathcal{N}_{b/t^2}(L) - \mathcal{N}_{a/t^2}(L)] \asymp t^{-s}$$

as claimed. $\square$

This then allows us to prove the following improvement on Corollary 2.2, for homogeneous manifolds.

Theorem 3.10. *In the situation of Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, say that $f \neq 0$, and $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$. Then we actually have that*

$$(3.21) \quad \left[\int |K_t(x, y)|^\alpha dy \right]^{1/\alpha} \asymp t^{-s/\alpha'}$$

with constants independent of x or t , as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, we need only prove the lower bounds. First we handle the case $\alpha = 2$. Since f is not identically zero, we may find $0 < a < b$ and $c > 0$, such that $|f| \geq c$ on $[a, b]$. By (2.7) and Corollary 3.1, we have that

$$\int |K_t(x, y)|^2 dy = \sum_l |f(t^2 \lambda_l)|^2 |u_l(x)|^2 \geq \sum_{l: a/t^2 \leq \lambda_l \leq b/t^2} |f(t^2 \lambda_l)|^2 |u_l(x)|^2 \geq$$

$$c^2 \sum_{a/t^2 < \lambda_l \leq b/t^2} |u_l(x)|^2 \gg t^{-s}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, with constants independent of x or t . This establishes the case $\alpha = 2$.

The lower bounds for $\alpha = 1, \infty$ now follow at once from the simple general inequality

$$(3.22) \quad \|f\|_2^2 \leq \|f\|_1 \|f\|_\infty,$$

the lower bound for $\alpha = 2$, and the upper bounds for $\alpha = 1, \infty$, as applied to $f(y) = K_t(x, y)$.

For the lower bounds for other α , we note that if $q < 2 < r$, and if $0 < \theta < 1$ is the number with $1/2 = \theta/q + (1 - \theta)/r$, then one has the general inequality

$$(3.23) \quad \|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_q^\theta \|f\|_r^{1-\theta}.$$

If $\alpha > 2$, the lower bound follows, after a brief computation, from (3.23) in the case $q = 1$, $r = \alpha$, and the lower bounds for 2 and 1. If $\alpha < 2$, the lower bound follows, after a briefer computation, from (3.23) in the case $q = \alpha$, $r = \infty$, and the lower bounds for 2 and ∞ . This completes the proof. $\square$

4. THE IMPROVED UPPER ESTIMATE

For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we define as usual $\|x\|_p^m = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\|x\|_\infty^m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|$. We denote by ℓ_p^m the set of vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^m}$ and b_p^m the unit ball of ℓ_p^m . Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and an integer $N \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}_N^p = \mathbb{B}_N^p(\mathbf{M})$ the class of all functions $f \in \mathbf{E}_{N^2}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\|f\|_p \leq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will rely on the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Let S_n denote either of the symbols d_n or δ_n . Then for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq n \leq \dim \mathbf{E}_{N^2}$, we have*

$$S_n(\mathbb{B}_N^p, L_q) \leq CN^{s(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} S_n(b_p^{m_N}, \ell_q^{m_N}),$$

where $m_N \asymp \dim \mathbf{E}_{N^2} \asymp N^s$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.8, we may choose $a_1 > 0$ such that, for any N , $f, g \in \Pi_{2N} \Rightarrow fg \in \Pi_{a_1 N}$. By Theorems 3.5 and 3.7, there is an $a_2 > 0$ such that whenever $\Lambda = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ is a ρ -lattice for $\rho = a_2/N$, then there are constants $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ such that, for all $f \in \Pi_{a_1 N}$,

$$\int f = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j f(t_j),$$

and moreover, for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_p \sim N^{-s/p} \|U_N(f)\|_{\ell_p^m} \sim \|U_N(f)\|_{\ell_{p,w}^m},$$

where $U_N : \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by

$$U_N(f) = (f(t_1), \dots, f(t_m)),$$

and for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\|u\|_{\ell_{p,w}^m} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |u_j|^p w_j \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } p \leq \infty \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |u_j| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, let η be a C^∞ function on $[0, \infty)$ which equals 1 on $[0, 1]$, and which is supported in $[0, 4]$. Let K_t be the kernel of $\eta(t^2 \mathcal{L})$, and let $K^N = K_{1/N}$. Since $\eta(\lambda_k/N^2) = 1$ whenever $\lambda_k \leq N^2 = \omega$ if $N = \omega^{1/2}$, we have that for $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$, the reproducing formula

$$(4.2) \quad f(x) = [\eta(\mathcal{L}/N^2)f](x) = \int_{\mathbf{M}} K^N(x, y) f(y) dy$$

where dy is our invariant measure. Moreover, $\eta(\lambda_k/N^2) = 0$ if $\lambda_k > 4N^2 = 4\omega$, so that $K^N(\cdot, y) \in \mathbf{E}_{2\omega}$ for any fixed y . Thus, for any $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we may define a map $T : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{4N^2}$ by

$$(4.3) \quad T(u)(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j u_j K^N(\cdot, t_j).$$

We claim that for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$(4.4) \quad \|T(u)(\cdot)\|_q \leq C \|u\|_{\ell_{q,w}^m}.$$

Indeed, if $q = 1$, this follows at once from Corollary 2.2 with $\alpha = 1$. For $q = \infty$, (4.3) follows from the second equivalence of (4.1) in the case $p = 1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u)\|_\infty &\leq \|u\|_\infty \max_{x \in \mathbf{M}} \sum_{j=1}^m w_j |K^N(x, t_j)| \\ &\leq C \|u\|_\infty \max_{x \in \mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} |K^N(x, y)| dy \\ &\leq C \|u\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

again by Corollary 2.2 with $\alpha = 1$. (4.4) now follows from the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem.

By (4.2) and the fact that $f, g \in \mathbf{E}_{4N^2} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2}$, we have that for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_{N^2}$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j f(t_j) K^N(x, t_j).$$

The rest of the proof of the lemma is basically just as in [2]: Note $f = T U_N f$ for $f \in \Pi_N$. Thus, we can factor the identity $I : \mathbf{E}_{N^2} \cap L^p \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2} \cap L^q$ as follows:

$$I : \mathbf{E}_{N^2} \cap L^p \xrightarrow{U_N} \ell_p^m \xrightarrow{i_1} \ell_q^m \xrightarrow{i_2} \ell_{q,w}^m \xrightarrow{T} \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2} \cap L^q,$$

where $\ell_{q,w}^m$ denotes the space $\mathbb{R}^m$, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q,w}^m}$, and i_1, i_2 both denote identity maps. By well-known properties of n -widths (see [36], Chapter II),

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\mathbb{B}_N^p, L^q) &\leq S_n(\mathbb{B}_N^p, L^q \cap \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2}) \leq \\ &\|U_N\|_{(\mathbf{E}_{N^2} \cap L^p, \ell_p^m)} \|i_2\|_{(\ell_q^m, \ell_{q,w}^m)} \|T\|_{(\ell_{q,w}^m, \mathbf{E}_{a_1^2 N^2} \cap L^q)} S_n(b_p^m, \ell_q^m). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.5, $w_j \sim \rho^s \sim N^{-s}$ for all j , with constants independent of N , so $\|i_2\|_{(\ell_q^m, \ell_{q,w}^m)} \sim N^{-s/q}$. By (4.1), $\|U_N\|_{(\mathbf{E}_N^2 \cap L^p, \ell_p^m)} \sim N^{s/p}$, and by (4.4), $\|T\|_{(\ell_{q,w}^m, \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1^2 N^2}^2 \cap L^q)} \leq C$. Combining these facts, we find the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We prove the required upper estimates for $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, for linear widths; the case of Kolmogorov widths may be treated similarly. By the duality $\delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) = \delta_n(B_{q'}^r, L_{p'})$, it suffices to prove them for $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq p'$, which we assume from here on.

By Lemma 4.1 with $q = p'$, and by Lemma 2.3 with $L = \mathcal{L}$ and $p = q$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) &\leq \delta_n(B_p^r, L_{p'}) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kr} \delta_{n_k}(\mathcal{B}_{2^{k+1}}^p, L_{p'}) \leq \\ &C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kr} 2^{ks(\frac{2}{p}-1)} \delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum n_k \leq n-1$ and $m_k \asymp 2^{n_k}$.

Assume now $C_1 2^{sv} \leq n \leq C_1^2 2^{sv}$, with $C_1 > 0$ to be specified later. We fix a real number $\rho \in (0, 2(r/s - 1/p))$, and set

$$(4.6) \quad n_k = \begin{cases} m_k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq v, \\ \lfloor 2^{s((1+\rho)v-k\rho)} \rfloor & \text{if } v < k < (1+\rho^{-1})v, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq (1+\rho^{-1})v. \end{cases}$$

One calculates then that $\sum_k n_k \asymp 2^{sv}$. Thus one can take C_1 so large that $\sum_k n_k \leq C_1 2^{sv} - 1 \leq n-1$. The proof is now completed by estimating the $\delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k})$ in (4): one has

$$(4.7) \quad \delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k}) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq v, \\ \leq C 2^{-\frac{s(1+\rho)v}{2}} 2^{sk(\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{\rho}{2})} ((\rho+1)(k+1-v))^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } v < k < (1+\rho^{-1})v, \\ \leq 1 & \text{if } k \geq (1+\rho^{-1})v. \end{cases}$$

Here the first case follows by noting that, in that case, $\delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k}) = \delta_{m_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k})$; the third case follows by noting that, in that case, $\delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k}) = \delta_0(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k})$; and the second case follows from Gluskin's estimate ([12])

$$\delta_{n_k}(b_p^{m_k}, \ell_{p'}^{m_k}) \leq C m_k^{1/p'} n_k^{-1/2} \log^{1/2}(1 + m_k/n_k).$$

Substituting (4.7) in (4), one calculates

$$\delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) \leq C 2^{v(-r+s(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}))} \leq C n^{-\frac{r}{s}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}},$$

as desired. This completes the proof.

5. LOWER BOUNDS ON HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3. First we need the following simple fact, which is another variant of our Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 5.1. *For each positive integer N with $2N^{-1/s} < \text{diam}\mathbf{M}$, there exists a collection of disjoint balls $\mathcal{Q}^N = \{B(x_i^N, N^{-1/s})\}$, such that the balls with the same centers and 3 times the radii cover $\mathbf{M}$, and such that $P_N := \#\mathcal{Q}^N \asymp N$.*

Proof We need only let $\mathcal{Q}^N$ be a maximal disjoint collection of balls of radius $N^{-1/s}$. Then surely the balls with the same centers and 3 times the radii cover $\mathbf{M}$. Thus by disjointness

$$\mu(\mathbf{M}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} \mu(B(x_i^N, N^{-1/s})) \gg \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} 1/N = P_N/N,$$

while by the covering property

$$P_N/(3^s N) \gg \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} \mu(B(x_i^N, 3N^{-1/s})) \geq \mu(\mathbf{M})$$

so that $P_N \asymp N$ as claimed.

We fix collections of balls $\mathcal{Q}^N$ as in Proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. *Say $\mathbf{M}$ is a homogeneous manifold. Then there are smooth functions φ_i^N ($2N^{-1/s} < \text{diam}\mathbf{M}$, $1 \leq i \leq P_N$), as follows:*

- (i) $\text{supp } \varphi_i^N \subseteq B_i^N := B(x_i^N, N^{-1/s})$;
- (ii) For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\|\varphi_i^N\|_q \asymp N^{-1/q}$, with constants independent of i or N ;
- (iii) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $r > 0$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} a_i \mathcal{L}^{r/2} \varphi_i^N \right\|_p \leq C N^{\frac{r}{s} - \frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_p,$$

with C independent of $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{P_N}) \in \mathbb{R}^{P_N}$, p or N .

Proof We let $h_0(\xi) = f_0(\xi^2)$ be an even element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } \hat{h}_0 \subseteq (-1, 1)$. For a positive integer M yet to be chosen, let $f(u) = u^M f_0(u)$, and set $h(\xi) = f(\xi^2) = \xi^{2M} f_0(\xi^2)$, so that $\hat{h} = c \partial^{2M} \hat{h}_0$ still has support contained in $(-1, 1)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.4, there is a $C_0 > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, the kernel $K_t(x, y)$ of $h(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) = f(t^2 \mathcal{L})$ has the property that $K_t(x, y) = 0$ whenever $d(x, y) > C_0 t$. Thus if $t = N^{-1/s}/2C_0$,

$$\varphi_i^N(x) := \frac{1}{N} K_t(x_i^N, x)$$

satisfies (i). By Theorem 3.10, $\|\varphi_i^N\|_q \asymp N^{-1}(N^{-1/s})^{-s/q'} = N^{-1/q}$, so (ii) holds. We shall show that (iii) holds if M is sufficiently large. For this, we will need a technical fact.

To state this technical fact, we temporarily suspend the above notation. For each positive integer J , we let

$$\mathcal{S}_J(\mathbb{R}^+) = \{f \in C^J([0, \infty)) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_J} := \sum_{i+j \leq J} \|x^i \partial^j f\|_\infty < \infty\}.$$

Fix $t > 0$. For $J = J_0$ sufficiently large, using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), one checks that the right side of

$$(5.1) \quad K_t^f(x, y) := \sum_l f(t^2 \lambda_l) u_l(x) u_l(y)$$

converges uniformly to a continuous function on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$, and in fact that for some $C_t > 0$,

$$(5.2) \quad \|K_t^f\|_\infty \leq C_t \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{J_0}}.$$

By testing on the u_m as usual, one sees that K_t^f is the kernel of $f(t^2\mathcal{L})$, in the sense that (2.6) holds for all $F \in L^2$ if $K_t = K_t^f$. The technical fact that we need is then that:

(*) For J_1 sufficiently large, Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 continue to hold for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{J_1}$.

Say that (*) is known, let us revert to the notation of the first paragraph of the proof, and let us show that (iii) follows for J sufficiently large. By the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, we need only do so for $p = 1$ and ∞ . If $t = N^{-1/s}/2C_0$, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{L}^{r/2} \varphi_i^N = N^{-1} t^{-r} \sum_l (t^2 \lambda_l)^{r/2} f(t^2 \lambda_l) u_l(x_i^N) u_l(x) = C N^{\frac{r}{s}-1} K_t^g(x_i^N, x),$$

where $g(u) = u^{r/2} f(u)$ and C is independent of N, i or t . Now g may not be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$, since it might not be smooth at the origin, but if M is sufficiently large, it will be in $\mathcal{S}_{J_0}$, and thus we may apply Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 to it. Thus, by (5.3) and Corollary 2.2, for $p = 1$ we have $\|\mathcal{L}^{r/2} \varphi_i^N\|_1 \leq C N^{\frac{r}{s}-1}$, with C independent of i, N . (iii) for $p = 1$ is an immediate consequence. As for $p = \infty$, we again set $t = N^{-1/s}/2C_0$. By Corollary (2.1), we have that for any x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} a_i \mathcal{L}^{r/2} \varphi_i^N(x) \right| &\leq C N^{\frac{r}{s}-1} \|a\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} \frac{t^{-s}}{(1 + d(x_i^N, x)/t)^{s+1}} \\ &\leq C N^{\frac{r}{s}+1} \|a\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} \frac{\mu(B_i^N)}{(1 + d(x_i^N, x)/t)^{s+1}} \\ &\leq C N^{\frac{r}{s}+1} \|a\|_\infty \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{dy}{(1 + d(y, x)/t)^{s+1}} \\ &\leq C N^{\frac{r}{s}} \|a\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

with C independent of a, N , proving (iii). (In the fourth line we have used the fact that, for all $x \in \mathbf{M}$, all $t > 0$, all i and N , and all $y \in B_i^N$, by the triangle inequality, $(1 + d(y, x)/t) \leq C(1 + d(x_i^N, x)/t)$ with C independent of x, y, t, i, N . In the last line we have used (2.1).)

Thus we need only establish the technical fact (*). In the arguments just given, $t = N^{-1/s}/2C_0$ will be less than 1 except for only finitely many values of N . (iii) is trivial for those finitely many N , so for the purposes of our arguments, we may assume $0 < t < 1$. Thus, for our purposes, we may work in situation (ii) of Corollary 2.1. (Situation (i) can be treated similarly.) We need only establish Corollary 2.1 for $f \in \mathcal{S}_{J_1}$ for suitable J_1 under hypothesis (i), since as we know, Corollary 2.2 is an immediate consequence.

To do this, we let $Z = (0, 1) \times \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$, and we let V denote the Banach space of continuous functions H on Z for which

$$\|H\|_V := \sup_{(t,x,y) \in Z} t^s [1 + d(x, y)/t]^{s+1} |H(x, y)| < \infty.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{J_0}(\mathbf{R}^+)$, let $H^f(t, x, y) = K_t^f(x, y)$. By Corollary 2.1, the linear map $f \rightarrow H^f$ takes $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ to V ; we shall use the closed graph theorem for Fréchet spaces to show that this map is continuous. Indeed, suppose that $f^k \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ and that $H^{f^k} \rightarrow H^g$ in V , for some $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$. Then surely $f^k \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{S}_{J_0}(\mathbf{R}^+)$, so by (5.2), $H^{f^k} \rightarrow H^f$ pointwise; accordingly, $g = f$. Thus the map is continuous, and so there is a $C, J_2 \geq J_0$ for which

$$(5.4) \quad |K_t^f(x, y)| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{J_2}} \frac{t^{-s}}{(1 + d(x, y)/t)^{s+1}}$$

for all t, x, y and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.

Finally, let $J_1 = J_2 + 1$, and suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}_{J_1}(\mathbf{R}^+)$. There is a sequence f^k of elements of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ which approaches f in $\mathcal{S}_{J_2}(\mathbf{R}^+)$. (Use cutoff functions and approximate identities.) Fix t, x, y , write (5.4) for f^k in place of f , and let $k \rightarrow \infty$. The left sides approach $|K_t^f(x, y)|$, by (5.2), while the right sides approach the right side of (5.4). This proves (*).

In proving Theorem 1.3, we will also obtain lower bounds for the Gelfand widths $d^n(B_p^r, L_q)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Say $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. If $s_n = d_n$ or d^n , then*

$$(5.5) \quad s_n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq C N^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} s_n(b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N})$$

for any sufficiently large n, N , with C independent of n, N .

Proof. With the φ_i^N as in Lemma 5.2, let H_N denote the space of functions of the form

$$(5.6) \quad g_a = \sum_{i=1}^{P_N} a_i \varphi_i^N,$$

for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{P_N}) \in \mathbf{R}^{P_N}$. By Lemma 5.2 (i) and (ii), and the disjointness of the B_i^N ,

$$(5.7) \quad \|g_a\| \asymp N^{-1/q} \|a\|_q,$$

with constants independent of N or a . By Lemma 5.2 (iii), for some $c > 0$, if we set $\epsilon = \epsilon_N = cN^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p}}$, and if $a \in \epsilon b_p^{P_N}$, then $g_a \in B_p^r$. Thus,

$$(5.8) \quad G_N := \{g_a \in H_N : a \in \epsilon b_p^{P_N}\} \subseteq B_p^r.$$

For the Gelfand widths, it is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem, that if $K \subseteq X \subseteq Y$, where X is a subspace of the normed space Y , then $d^n(K, X) = d^n(K, Y)$ for all n . Thus,

$$d^n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq d^n(G_N, L_q) = d^n(G_N, H_N) \geq C N^{-1/q} d^n(\epsilon_N b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N})$$

for some C independent of n, N , by (5.7) and (5.8). This proves the lemma for the Gelfand widths.

For the Kolmogorov widths, for the same reason, we need only show that

$$(5.9) \quad d_n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq C d_n(G_N, H_N).$$

with C independent of n, N .

To this end we define the projection operator $Q_N : L_q \rightarrow H_N$ by

$$Q_N h = g_a, \quad \text{where } a_i = \frac{\int h \varphi_i^N}{\|\varphi\|_2^2}.$$

By Lemma 5.2 (i), (ii) and Hölder's inequality, we have here that each $|a_i| \leq C \|h \chi_i^N\|_q N^{1-1/q'}$, where χ_i^N is the characteristic function of B_i^N . By (5.7) and the disjointness of the B_i^N , we have that

$$(5.10) \quad \|Q_N h\|_q = \|g_a\|_q \asymp N^{-1/q} \|a\|_q \leq c N^{1-1/q-1/q'} \|h\|_q = c \|h\|_q,$$

with C independent of n, N .

Accordingly, for any $g \in H_N$ and $h \in L_q$, we have that

$$\|g - Q_N h\|_q = \|Q_N g - Q_N h\|_q \leq c \|g - h\|_q.$$

Thus, if K is any subset of H_N , $d_n(K, L_q) \geq c^{-1} d_n(K, H_N)$. In particular

$$d_n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq d_n(G_N, L_q) \geq c^{-1} d_n(G_N, H_N).$$

This establishes (5.9), and completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We will need several facts about widths. First, say $p \geq p_1$, $q \leq q_1$, and $S^n = d_n, d^n$ or δ_n . One then has the following two evident facts

$$(5.11) \quad S^n(B_p^r, L_q) \leq C S^n(B_{p_1}^r, L_{q_1})$$

with C independent of n , while

$$(5.12) \quad S^n(b_p^M, \ell_q^M) \geq C S^n(b_{p_1}^M, \ell_{q_1}^M)$$

with C independent of n, M .

By Lemma 5.1, we may choose $\nu > 0$ such that $P_{\nu n} \geq 2n$ for all sufficiently large n . In this proof we will always take $N = \nu n$. We consider the various ranges of p, q separately:

(1) $q \leq p$.

In this case, we note that if $S^n = d_n, d^n$ or δ_n , then by (5.11),

$$(5.13) \quad S_n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq C S_n(B_\infty^r, L_1).$$

On the other hand, if $s_n = d_n$ or d^n , then by (3.1) on page 410 of [20], $s_n(b_\infty^{P_N}, \ell_1^{P_N}) = P_N - n \geq n$. By this, (5.13) and Lemma 5.3, we find that

$$s_n(B_p^r, L_q) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s}-1} n = n^{-\frac{r}{s}}$$

first for $s_n = d_n$ or d^n and then for δ_n , by (1.3). This completes the proof in this case.

(2) $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$.

In this case, for the Gelfand widths we just observe, by (5.11), that

$$(5.14) \quad d^n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq C d^n(B_p^r, L_p) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s}}$$

by case 1. For the Kolmogorov widths we observe, by Lemma 5.3 and (5.12), that

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} d_n(B_p^r, L_q) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_n(b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N}) \gg \\ &n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_n(b_1^{P_N}, \ell_2^{P_N}) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

since, by (3.3) of page 411 of [20], $d_n(b_1^{P_N}, \ell_2^{P_N}) = \sqrt{1 - n/P_N} \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$. Finally, for the linear widths, we have by (1.3), that

$$\delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

This completes the proof in this case.

(3) $2 \leq p \leq q$.

In this case, for the Kolmogorov widths we just observe, by (5.11), that

$$(5.16) \quad d_n(B_p^r, L_q) \geq C d_n(B_p^r, L_p) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s}}$$

by case 1. For the Gelfand widths we observe, by Lemma 5.3 and (5.12), that

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} d^n(B_p^r, L_q) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d^n(b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N}) \gg \\ n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d^n(b_2^{P_N}, \ell_\infty^{P_N}) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

since, by (3.5) of page 412 of [20],

$$d^n(b_2^{P_N}, \ell_\infty^{P_N}) = \sqrt{1 - n/P_N} \geq 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

Finally, for the linear widths, we have by (1.3), that

$$\delta_n(B_p^r, L_q) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

This completes the proof in this case.

(4) $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Say $1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_1 \leq \infty$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$(5.18) \quad \|a\|_\alpha \leq M^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \|a\|_{\alpha_1}$$

if $a \in \mathbb{R}^M$. This implies that

$$(5.19) \quad b_{\alpha_1}^M \subseteq M^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} b_\alpha^M.$$

From Lemma 5.3, (5.12) and (5.18), we find that

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} d_n(B_p^r, L_q) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_n(b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N}) \gg \\ n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_n(b_1^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N}) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} d_n(b_1^{P_N}, \ell_2^{P_N}) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.3, (5.12) and (5.19), we find that

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} d^n(B_p^r, L_q) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d^n(b_p^{P_N}, \ell_q^{P_N}) \gg \\ n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d^n(b_1^{P_N}, \ell_\infty^{P_N}) &\gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}} d^n(b_2^{P_N}, \ell_\infty^{P_N}) \gg n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (4), (4) and (1.3),

$$(5.22) \quad \delta_n(B^r, L_q) \gg \max(n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}}, n^{-\frac{r}{s} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Birman, M. Z. Solomjak, *Piecewise polynomial approximations of functions of classes W_p^α* , (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 73 (115) 1967 331355
- [2] G. Brown and F. Dai (2005), *Approximation of smooth functions on compact two-point homogeneous spaces*, J. Func. Anal. **220** (2005), 401–423
- [3] B. Bordin, A.K. Kushpel, J. Levesley, S.A. Tozoni, *Estimates of n -widths of Sobolev classes on compact globally symmetric spaces of rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. 202 (2) (2003) 307326.
- [4] G. Brown, F. Dai, Sun Yongsheng, *Kolmogorov width of classes of smooth functions on the sphere*, J. Complexity 18 (4) (2002) 10011023.
- [5] F. Filbir, H. Mhaskar, *A quadrature formula for diffusion polynomials corresponding to a generalized heat kernel*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 16 (2010), no. 5, 629657.
- [6] F. Filbir, H. N. Mhaskar, *Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund measures on manifolds*, arXiv:1006.5123.
- [7] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Continuous wavelets and frames on stratified Lie groups I*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, **12** (2006), 543–579.
- [8] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Continuous Wavelets on Compact Manifolds*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), 895–927.
- [9] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Nearly Tight Frames and Space-Frequency Analysis on Compact Manifolds* (2009), Math. Z. **263** (2009), 235–264.
- [10] D. Geller and D. Marinucci, *Mixed needles*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375 (2011), no. 2, 610630.
- [11] D. Geller and I. Pesenson, *Band-limited localized Parseval frames and Besov spaces on compact homogeneous manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 21 (2011), no. 2, 334371.
- [12] E.D. Gluskin, *Norms of random matrices and diameters of finite-dimensional sets*, Math. Sb. **120** (1983), 180189.
- [13] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [14] K. Hesse, H.N. Mhaskar, I.H. Sloan, *Quadrature in Besov spaces on the Euclidean sphere*, J. Complexity 23 (2007), no. 4–6, 528552.
- [15] K. Hollig, *Approximationszahlen von Sobolev-Einbettungen*, Math. Ann. 242 (3) (1979) 273–281 (in German).
- [16] L. Hormander, *The spectral function of an elliptic operator*, Acta Math. 121 (1968) 193–218.
- [17] A.I. Kamzolov, *The best approximation of the classes of functions $W_p(S^{d-1})$ by polynomials in spherical harmonics*, Math. Notes 32 (1982) 622–626.
- [18] A.I. Kamzolov, *On the Kolmogorov diameters of classes of smooth functions on a sphere*, Russian Math. Surveys 44 (5) (1989) 196–197.
- [19] B.S. Kashin, *The widths of certain finite-dimensional sets and classes of smooth functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 41 (1977) 334–351.
- [20] G.G. Lorentz, M.V. Golitschek, Yu. Makovoz, *Constructive Approximation (Advanced Problems)*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [21] V.E. Maiorov, *Linear diameters of Sobolev classes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 243 (5) (1978), 1127–1130 (in Russian).
- [22] J. Marzo, *Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequalities and interpolation by spherical harmonics*, J. Funct. Anal. 250(2), 559–587 (2007).
- [23] J. Marzo, J. Ortega-Cerda, *Equivalent norms for polynomials on the sphere*, arXiv:math/0703588
- [24] H.N. Mhaskar, F.J. Narcowich, J.D. Ward, *Spherical Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature*, Math. Comp. 70 (2001), no. 235, 1113–1130.
- [25] F.J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev and J. Ward, *Localized Tight frames on spheres*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38, (2006), 574–594.
- [26] F.J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev and J. Ward, *Decomposition of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces on the sphere*, J. Func. Anal. ,238, (2006), 530–564.
- [27] J. Ortega-Cerda, *Interpolating and sampling sequences in finite Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 40 (2008), no. 5, 876886.
- [28] J. Ortega-Cerda, B. Pridhnani, *Beurling–Landau’s density on compact manifolds*, arXiv:1105.2501.
- [29] J. Ortega-Cerda, B. Pridhnani, *Carleson Measures and Logvinenko–Sereda sets on compact manifolds*, arXiv:1004.2456.
- [30] I. Pesenson, *The Bernstein Inequality in the Space of Representation of a Lie group*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk USSR **313** (1990), 86–90; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **42** (1991).

- [31] I. Pesenson, *A sampling theorem on homogeneous manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 9, 4257–4269.
- [32] I. Pesenson, *An approach to spectral problems on Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. of Math. Vol. 215(1), (2004), 183-199.
- [33] I. Pesenson, *Poincare-type inequalities and reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds*, J. of Geometric Analysis, (4), 1, (2004), 101-121.
- [34] I. Pesenson, *Bernstein-Nikolski inequality and Riesz interpolation Formula on compact homogeneous manifolds*, J. Approx. Theory, 150, (2008). no. 2, 175-198.
- [35] R.T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **10**, (1968), 288-307.
- [36] A. Pinkus, *n-widths in Approximation Theory*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [37] A. Seeger and C.D. Sogge, *On the boundedness of functions of (pseudo-) differential operators on compact manifolds*, Duke Math. J. , 59, (1989), 709-736.
- [38] C. Sogge, *Fourier Integrals in Classical Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [39] R. Strichartz, *A functional calculus for elliptic pseudodifferential operators*, Amer. J. Math., 94, (1972), 711-722.
- [40] M. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [41] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces II*, Monographs in Mathematics, 84. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1992.